UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUK NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

N		STRICT (F CALIFORNIA		
1		AND DIV		*	* I .
United States of America,			17-413-J	D AUG	TED
Plaintiff,	,		TED ORDER EXCI DER THE SPEEDY	UDING TRIAL ACT	2017
Danien Johnson Defendant.)			W.	CALIFORNIA
Defendant.)				
For the reason stated by the parties of Trial Act from August 1, 2017 continuance outweigh the best interes 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this	t, to August 11 st of the public a	2017 and the de	and finds that the end fendant in a speedy t	ls of justice served by rial. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. §	the Speedy y the
Failure to grant a co See 18 U.S.C. § 316		d be likely	to result in a miscar	riage of justice.	
defendants, the natu or law, that it is unre	re of the prosect	ution, or the	ne existence of novel ate preparation for p	asons] the number of questions of fact retrial proceedings of act. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	the trial
			defendant reasonabl ice. See 18 U.S.C. §	e time to obtain cour 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	isel,
	r scheduled case	e commitr	nents, taking into acc	dant continuity of count the exercise of	
	ve preparation,		nably deny the defend account the exercis	dant the reasonable ti e of due diligence.	me
				s excluded under 18 al Rules of Criminal	
For the reasons state 3161(h)(1)(E)(F) for				s excluded under 18 defendant to another	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	*				
DATED: 8/11, 2017			/om		
, 2017	7		ONNA M. RYU		
STIPULATED:		Ur	lited States Magistra	te Judge	Philips
Attorney for Defer	dant	As	sistant United States	Attorney	